

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,602	12/12/2003	Hao-Jan Lin	JCLA10516	1741	
23900 J C PATENTS,	7590 02/02/2007 INC.		EXAMINER		
4 VENTURE, SUITE 250			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
IRVINE, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636		

			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/735,602	LIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel M. Sullivan	1636 .		

	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply much	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).					
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .	*						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		•				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 10/26/06							
13.							
		Daniel M Sullivan, Primary Examiner	Ph.D.				
		Art Unit: 1636					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended such that the sample solution is limited to being a "homogeneous liquid". First, the passage at page 8, lines 6-11 does not contain literal support for the phrase "homogeneous liquid" raising the possibility that the amendment adds new matter. Furthermore, the limitation was not considered in prosecution prior to final rejection and, therefore, entry of the amendment would necessitate a new search and reconsideration of the art to determine patentability of the claims as a whole.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant traverses the rejection under 35 USC §103(a) on the grounds that the art fails to teach the step of placing a homogeneous liquid sample solution into the material delivery system and a pressure at the sprayer's outlet of about 1 atm as now recited in the amended claims. However, as the amendments have not been entered, these arguments are most with respect to the presently pending claims.